

1 Benjamin Ellison, WSBA #48315  
2 Daniel J. Bugbee, WSBA #42412  
3 Dominique R. Scalia, WSBA #47313  
4 DBS Law  
5 155 NE 100<sup>th</sup> St., Suite 205  
6 Seattle, WA 98125  
7 Telephone: (206) 489-3802  
8 Facsimile: (206) 973-8737  
9 Proposed Attorneys for Official Unsecured  
10 Creditors' Committee

HONORABLE FREDERICK CORBIT

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

In Re:

GIGA WATT INC.

Case No. 18-03197-11

Chapter 11

COMMITTEE'S MOTION FOR  
CHAPTER 11 TRUSTEE

Debtor in Possession

The Unsecured Creditors Committee request that the Court hear this Motion to Appoint Chapter 11 Trustee on shortened time, for this coming hearing date of January 17, 2019. Applicable Ninth Circuit precedent authorizes *sua sponte* approval in an appropriate case such as this. *In re Bibo, Inc.*, 76 F.3d 256, 259 (9th Cir. 1996).

I. INTRODUCTORY STATEMENT

Counsel for the Debtor-in-Possession was recently forced to file an emergency \$500,000 DIP loan motion (ultimately denied), heard on Christmas Eve. ECF No. 58.

COMMITTEE MOTION TO APPOINT CHAPTER 11 TRUSTEE -  
PAGE 1

**DBS | LAW**

A Professional Limited Liability Company  
155 NE 100<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 205 Seattle, WA 98125  
p: 206.489.3802 | f: 206.973.8737

1           Due to the Court's denial of the DIP loan motion, various third parties now  
2 have not been paid, and may be withholding services such that the Debtor-in-  
3 Possession feels compelled to suspend its operations.  
4

5           Giga Watt's blanket suspension of services is documented by a customer  
6 notice distributed to Giga Watt customers this morning (January 15, 2019)  
7 attached as **Exhibit A** to the accompanying Declaration in Support. It states, in  
8 relevant part,

9           *To All Giga Watt Customers,*

10           *As was reported in November of 2018, Giga Watt voluntarily filed with the Bankruptcy Court seeking debt relief and reorganization.*

11           *Subsequent to the filing, day to day operations continued until now. At present, both access and power to the facilities in which Giga Watt operates have been closed to the company.*

12           (emphasis added).

13           Simultaneously with this bad news, the Debtor-in-Possession asserts that a  
14 partial sale may be in the offing. It stated that Giga Watt is "*acquiring a Letter of  
15 Interest for purchase of interest in the Debtor, and expects to have concrete  
16 details of the purchase early this week.*" ECF No. 96, January 14, 2019, at p. 6,  
17 ll. 13-14. Evidently, the market views the Debtor as possessing valuable assets,  
18 so there is merit in continuing to operate the Company for the benefit of creditors  
19 in Chapter 11.

Nonetheless, given the case's current status, it is not appropriate for current management to remain in place. Rather, a specialist Chapter 11 trustee should be appointed to effectively execute a bankruptcy strategy benefitting all parties-in-interest.

## II. FACTUAL BACKGROUND

### **A. Corporate Background**

Giga Watt's significant operations and business model were previously covered in the national media by an article in POLITICO in March 2018.<sup>1</sup> Suffice it to say that the issues in this case are of significant local importance. Each giga-watt of electricity consumed by a company like the Debtor-in-Possession for computing power would otherwise support approximately 1,000 residences. The Debtor-in-Possession's website indicates it has contracts to provide 50 giga-watts of power.<sup>2</sup> If the case fails, presumably the regional demand and supply for electricity will be affected.

<sup>1</sup> Paul Roberts, “*This is what happens when bitcoin miners take over your town,*” (March 9, 2018), also available at <https://www.politico.com/magazine/story/2018/03/09/bitcoin-mining-energy-prices-smalltown-feature-217230>.

<sup>2</sup> <https://giga-watt.com/promo/offers>.

1       Similarly, the assets of Giga Watt's business are the timely subject of  
2 political debate as on January 11, 2019, Wenatchee City Counsel scrapped  
3 proposed rules authorizing mixed-use bitcoin mining operations, citing concerns  
4 over disruption in residential areas, and noise in commercial areas.<sup>3</sup> To the extent  
5 broad limitations are placed on where bitcoin mining operations occur in  
6 Wenatchee, pre-existing operations, such as those owned by the Debtor-in-  
7 Possession, would seem to have enhanced value.

8

## 10           **B. Bankruptcy Filing**

9

11          In response to a number of pending lawsuits against the company, the  
12 Debtor-in-Possession's bankruptcy case was filed on November 19, 2018 with a  
13 skeleton filing. ECF No. 1. The Petition indicated that the bankruptcy estate had  
14 up to \$50,000 of assets, but up to \$50,000,000 of liabilities. *Id.* at p. 3. A docket  
15 notation indicated that complete schedules needed to be filed within two weeks,  
16 or by December 3, 2018.

17          On November 22, 2018, the Debtor-in-Possession clarified that it in fact  
18 had up to \$50,000,000 of assets as well. ECF No. 11.

19

---

20          <sup>3</sup> Bridget Mire, *City Council rejects proposed cryptocurrency changes*,  
21 WENATCHEE WORLD (Jan. 11, 2019), available at  
22 [https://www.wenatcheeworld.com/news/2019/jan/11/city-council-rejects-  
23 proposed-cryptocurrency-changes/](https://www.wenatcheeworld.com/news/2019/jan/11/city-council-rejects-proposed-cryptocurrency-changes/).

1           On December 5, 2018, the Debtor-in-Possession moved for use of cash  
2 collateral. ECF No. 30. It asserted that cryptocurrency accounts associated with  
3 two properties in Moses Lake, WA had a value of \$30,000,000 alone, and Debtor-  
4 in-Possession sought authorization to make use of this collateral even though the  
5 landlord Giga Plex apparently had a security interest in such accounts. The  
6 Motion asserted, p. 4, that the landlord was more than adequately protected. A  
7 Declaration in Support of the Motion for Cash Collateral, ECF No. 37, presented  
8 three operational alternatives for the Debtor-in-Possession, each one requiring  
9 approximately \$500,000 per month to operate.

10           On December 11, 2018, more than a week after the deadline to file  
11 completed schedules, the Debtor-in-Possession filed additional, partially-  
12 completed schedules. ECF No. 40. These indicated that Giga Watt held in excess  
13 of \$80,000,000 of assets. *Id.* at p. 1. By contrast, the Debtor-in-Possession  
14 discloses only \$500,000 of secured debt, and approximately \$15,000,000 of  
15 unsecured debt. *Id.*

16           Specifically, the Statement of Financial Affairs (“SOFA”), filed on  
17 December 12, 2018, remains materially incomplete, though previously due on  
18 December 3, 2018. ECF No. 42.

- The Debtor-in-Possession's response to Number 1 INCOME from 2016 through petition date, is left blank, except for a "To be supplemented" notation.
- The Debtor-in-Possession's response to Number 4 PAYMENT TO INSIDERS within 12 months of the petition date, is left blank, except for a "To be supplemented" notation.
- The Debtor-in-Possession's response to Number 10 LOSS OF ASSETS within 12 months of the petition date, is left blank, except for a "To be supplemented" notation.

The SOFA, however, has never been supplementednor has any monthly operating report ever been filed.

On December 17, 2018, the Debtor-in-Possession and its landlord/secured party Giga Plex entered into a stipulated interim cash collateral order, approved by the Court. ECF No. 53.

On December 20, 2018, the Debtor-in-Possession sought an emergency postpetition DIP loan for \$500,000 from High Five Capital LLC. ECF No. 58.

Debtor-in-Possession also filed a cash flow statement indicating that it generates \$550,000 in revenue per month, and has expenses of \$507,000 per month. ECF No. 64. Giga Watt is therefore theoretically cash-flow positive.

1 Bankruptcy Judge Kurtz heard the emergency motion for approval of DIP  
2 financing on December 20 and December 24, 2018, and ultimately denied the  
3 motion.  
4

5 On January 3, 2019, the Grant County landlord filed an omnibus motion for  
6 relief from stay, abandonment, and rejection of its lease. ECF No. 76. The  
7 landlord sought for its motion to be heard on shortened time, to coincide with the  
8 scheduled final hearing on cash collateral.  
9

10 On January 7, 2019, counsel for the Debtor-in-Possession moved to  
11 withdraw. ECF No. 90. The next day, principals for the Debtor-in-Possession  
12 failed to attend the 341 meeting. The UST has rescheduled this meeting for  
13 Wednesday, January 16, 2019.  
14

## 16 II. LEGAL ARGUMENT

17 The Bankruptcy Code specifically authorizes the Committee to move for a  
18 Chapter 11 Trustee under appropriate circumstances. 11 U.S.C. § 1103(c)(4).  
19 The circumstances of mismanagement of this high-profile Debtor-in-Possession,  
20 that have lead to Giga Watts' current suspension of operations, provide more than  
21 adequate justification for the appointment of a Chapter 11 Trustee.  
22

23 Indeed, mismanagement seems to have characterized both Giga Watt's  
24 prepetition as well as postpetition operations. As disclosed in the partially  
25

1 completed Statement of Financial Affairs, ECF No.42, at p. 4, Giga Watt was  
2 felled by a combination of securities and RICO fraud class action lawsuits by  
3 bitcoin miners who purchased “*turnkey hosting services*,” only to be find that they  
4 had been sold a bill of goods. *See, e.g., Sofair v. Giga Watt, Inc.*, 18-cv-00308-  
5 SMJ (E.D. Wa. 2018) (fraud); *McVickers v. Giga Watt, Inc.*, 18-cv-00103-SMJ  
6 (E.D. Wa. 2018) (securities violations). Despite the financial disclosures made to  
7 date by the Debtor-in-Possession, there appear to be no accounting for where all  
8 the proceeds provided by the claimants in the class action lawsuits went.  
9

10           **A. The standard for appointing a Chapter 11 Trustee is satisfied here**

11           Under 11 U.S.C. § 1104(a)(1), appointment of a trustee is mandatory when  
12 cause is found. *In re Oklahoma Refining Co.*, 838 F.2d 1133, 1136 (10th Cir.  
13 1988) (“*Once the court has found that cause exists under Sec. 1104, it has no*  
14 *discretion but must appoint a trustee.*”). Even if cause is not found under (a)(1),  
15 however, subsection (a)(2) permits appointment of a trustee where it is in the best  
16 interest of all parties, based on a flexible standard that allows a court to look to  
17 the practical realities and necessities of a case. *In re Marvel Entertainment*  
18 *Group, Inc.*, 140 F.3d 463, 474 (3d Cir. 1998); *In re Colorado-Ute Electric Assn.*,  
19 *Inc.*, 120 B.R. 164, 176 (Bankr. D. Colo. 1990).

1       The present case indicates a straightforward case for appointment of a  
2 Chapter 11 Trustee. On the one hand, it is not clear that the Debtor-in-Possession  
3 intends to or is capable of proceeding with the case.  
4

5       On the other hand, even if the Debtors-in-Possession still plans to make a  
6 go of it, the Court “*shall*” appoint a Chapter 11 trustee for “*cause*,” such as when  
7 there is “*incompetence or gross mismanagement of the affairs of the debtor.*”<sup>4</sup>  
8

9       Here, this is a case prompted by a skeletal bankruptcy petition; Giga Watt  
10 still has not disclosed its full financial health over the 60 days the case has been in  
11 Chapter 11; from the incomplete SOFA, it is unclear what the Debtor-in-  
12 Possession’s pre 2018 income was; it is unclear whether transfers were made to  
13 insiders in the year before bankruptcy; and we don’t know if any material assets  
14 will be claimed to have gone missing. Further, no monthly operating report has  
15 ever been filed, and Giga Watt has substantially under-identified relevant  
16 creditors and stakeholders in its schedules. Debtor-in-Possession conceded in its  
17 prior cash collateral motion that though seeking approval to use cash collateral for  
18  
19  
20

---

21  
22  
23       <sup>4</sup> Nonetheless, under 11 U.S.C. § 1104(a)(2), even “cause” is not required for  
24 appointment of a Chapter 11 trustee, so long as, as in this case, “*such appointment*  
25 *is in the interests of creditors, any equity security holders, and other interests of*  
26 *the estate.*” As the designated representative of all unsecured creditors, the  
Committee’s position here should be afforded a certain amount of deference.

1 accounts associated with properties in Moses Lake, WA, it could not determine  
2 whether and which accounts actually existed (ECF No. 30, at p. 3, ll. 19-20).  
3

4 If unexpected payment emergencies or broken promises to pay postpetition  
5 vendors were the exception and not the norm in this case, perhaps the Court could  
6 allow the Debtor to continue operations on a short leash. However, when one  
7 considers the allegations in class action litigation concerning considerable  
8 corporate mismanagement which precipitated the present bankruptcy filing,  
9 combined with the amount of extended confusion over basic assets and finances,  
10 one comes away with the unequivocal impression that this Chapter 11 present  
11 cause to appoint a trustee.<sup>5</sup>  
12

13

14 **B. The United States Trustee Should Promptly Consult with Creditors  
15 to Determine the Appropriate Chapter 11 Trustee**

16 U.S.C. § 1104(a) provides that any party-in-interest may petition for  
17 appointment of a Chapter 11 trustee. Once the Court finds that such trustee  
18 should be appointed, then “*after consultation with parties in interest*,” the United  
19 States Trustee “*shall appoint ... one disinterested person ... to serve as trustee...*”  
20 The Committee respectfully asks that the Court order the United States Trustee to  
21

22

23

24

---

25 <sup>5</sup> A party seeking the appointment of a Chapter 11 trustee bears the burden of  
26 persuasion by a preponderance of the evidence. *In re Veblen West Dairy LLP*,  
434 B.R. 550, 555-6 (Bankr. D.S.D. 2010).

1 promptly appoint a Chapter 11 trustee within 7 business days. In determining the  
2 appropriate candidate, the Committee recommends that the UST consider  
3 candidates with industry or accounting expertise that is specific or relevant to the  
4 circumstances of this case.

5

### III. CONCLUSION

6

7

8 Appointment of a Chapter 11 Trustee, whose credentials may be tailored by  
9 the UST to the specific facts at hand, is the appropriate remedy to get this case  
10 back on track, and restore creditor confidence in a transparent reorganization  
11 process that maximizes value for all.

12

13 DATED this 15th day of January, 2019.

14

15 DBS | Law

16 */s/ Daniel J. Bugbee*  
17 Ben Ellison, WSBA # 48315  
18 Daniel J. Bugbee, WSBA #42412  
19 Dominique R. Scalia, WSBA #47313  
20 *Proposed Attorneys for Official Unsecured*  
21 *Creditors Committee*